

## Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

### Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

#### Section A: Overview & Summary Information

**Date Investment First Submitted:** 2009-06-30  
**Date of Last Change to Activities:** 2012-08-11  
**Investment Auto Submission Date:** 2012-02-16  
**Date of Last Investment Detail Update:** 2012-06-12  
**Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update:** 2012-06-12  
**Date of Last Revision:** 2012-08-11

**Agency:** 012 - Department of Labor      **Bureau:** 25 - Departmental Management

**Investment Part Code:** 01

**Investment Category:** 00 - Agency Investments

**1. Name of this Investment:** OASAM - Departmental E-Business Suite (DEBS)

**2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull):** 012-000002575

#### Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

The Departmental E-Business System (DEBS), an integrated budget environment (IBE), supports DOL's Strategic Plan and all outcome goals and strategies by enabling DOL agencies to formulate budget requests to the President and Congress. DEBS blends a set of Commercial/Government off-the-Shelf (C/GOTS) solutions to optimize budget formulation lifecycle resources for the Department of Labor (DOL) and client agencies. The tools and techniques associated with DEBS permit users to track, report and analyze budget and performance data within agency and across DOL for greater transparency. The solution, available on the internet, automates the budget formulation and publication process through easy-to-use technology. DEBS is designed to enable and empower the budget community to seamlessly produce budget submissions, respond to stakeholder inquiries and analyze budget against plan. DEBS alleviates the laborious efforts associated with producing multiple budget submissions on cuff records, disparate worksheets and non-uniform templates. As the system of budget formulation for all DOL agencies, DEBS is preparing to support external Federal agencies under the concept of Shared Services Provider (SSP) as recognized by the Budget Formulation and Execution Line of Business (BFELoB). DEBS will capitalize on the automated budget submission process and integrate and interoperate with internal/external systems thereby helping the Department recover sunk costs. This framework is already capable of serving external federal agencies and will be improved upon to support a SSP

model. During FY12 DEBS will continue O&M related to EA and IT Security and provide monthly updates as per CPIC guidelines.

**2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

In FY12 DEBS will address the following performance gaps: Inconsistent, non-repeatable budget formulation process - Manual, repetitive and time intensive budget formulation process resulting in delinquent, inconsistent, error-prone budget submissions to OMB and Congress. Inefficiencies in budget formulation - The existing budget formulation process contains inefficiencies due to semi-manual process associated with recording budget details in multiple disparate MS Excel and Word files located on shared drives. Lack of resource availability for budget analysis, customer service and reporting DOL's legacy, manual budgeting process is resource intensive and causes a lack of resource availability for budget analysis, customer service and reporting. Budget process standardization and automation is necessary to make resources available for customer service and analysis. Lack of centralized and secure database - The lack of centralized and secure database, complemented by a collaborative, workflow-driven, user-friendly automated interface, diminishes collaboration on budget and planning matters which impacts the continuity of operations across DOL. Inconsistent definition and organization of budget data entities - DOL is not consistent, across its Agencies, in its definition and organization of budget data entities. This inconsistency results in internal inefficiencies in budget formulation, consolidation and analysis; and it creates difficulty in aligning the budget with strategic goals. DME funding for CY12 is required to deliver three major modules. First, near real time interoperability with DOL's accounting and financial management system (New Core Financial Management System - NCFMS), MAX, DOL's electronic solution for accessing core personnel information - Webpars, and DOL's timesheet system for federal employees - People time. Second, it will also address user interface reporting requirements for workflow, apportionment tracking and appropriation language review by and between OMB and DOL. Third, performance and productivity reporting by conversion from an Access database to DEBS; dashboard access and personalization for agency budget, performance and financial personnel.

**3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.**

In FY2011, 19 DOL agencies used DEBS to prepare and submit the FY13 Departmental and OMB Budgets, and the FY12 Congressional Budget Justification. A total of 27 DOL appropriation accounts conducted budget activities in DEBS. In FY11 the percentage of Agency Budget Offices using DEBS was 100% with 19 agencies using it to integrate Budget Formulation and Exhibit 300 Submission. No Budgets required Re-work resulting in a score of 92% in Accurate and Timely Budget and Performance data, and 80% Collaborative Budget Formulation experiences. DEBS was successful in maintaining Stakeholder Satisfaction of 90% and Agency Budget Professionals Job Satisfaction of 82%. DEBS achieved a net benefit over cost associated with the Integrated Budget Environment of 50%.

**4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).**

The following accomplishments are planned using \$2 million of FY11 carry-over funds plus \$0.830 million of FY12 funds. - Conduct technical requirements gathering, develop a four-appropriation account prototype that delivers budget, performance, financial and full time equivalent information to senior Departmental and Agency leadership via a dashboard reporting interface that capitalizes on the existing investment already in production; - Integration and/or interoperability of data sources that will feed information to the user-configurable portals to include, but not limited to: performance, financial information, budget authority and FTEs - DEBS technical platform will be improved to support increased end user demand while improving customer service and satisfaction - Due diligence was applied during the assessment of this investment opportunity and the federally managed change control board examined alternatives based on known requirements and concluded that extending DEBS was the prevailing alternative given its adoption rate and proven rate of return to the organization. Deploy Stage 5 DEBS 4.0 to deliver budget and performance integration to the desktops of senior Department and Agency leadership through dashboard reporting. In FY12, Stage 5a DEBS 4.0 Operating Plan Automation will be completed through: - A enterprise rollout to twenty seven appropriation accounts across DOL - Re-architect key functionality related to the dashboard prototype based on lessons learned - Reporting and analytics may also include the use of TM1 for increased data capture performance and data management migration services - Renewal of the Authorization to Operate (ATO) and related certification and accreditation and/or assessment and authorization processes - Maintain or exceed Agency budget professional s job satisfaction at 82% and stakeholder satisfaction at 90%, keep agency budget offices using DEBS at 100%. Also increase the number of agencies integrating budget formulation and exhibit 300 submissions at 27, number of budgets requiring re-work will remain at 0. There is no DME funding for BY 2013 however DEBS Dashboard will be operational, thus providing additional value especially in the area of leadership reporting during operations throughout BY 2013. The Dashboard will provide the means to closely monitor data and outcomes, enabling end users and key stakeholders to see at-a-glance progress of operations across agencies.

5. **Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2005-03-14

## Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$2.4	\$0.2	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$7.2	\$2.1	\$1.7	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.3	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$9.6	\$2.3	\$2.0	0
O & M Costs:	\$2.0	\$0.4	\$0.4	\$0.4
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$1.8	\$0.4	\$0.4	\$0.4
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$3.8	\$0.8	\$0.8	\$0.8
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$13.4	\$3.1	\$2.8	\$0.8
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$1.8	\$0.4	\$0.7	\$0.4
# of FTE rep by costs:	14	3	5	6
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$2.0	\$2.0	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		186.00%	241.00%	

**2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:**

FY11 funding was increased to develop a Dashboard to support Deputy Secretary s vision of an integrated budgeting environment that reports budgetary, performance, financial and FTE information. This increased funding of 2 million dollars for Dashboard development will be spent in CY 2012. DEBS will have enhanced features implemented during CY 2012, thus providing additional value especially in the area of leadership reporting.

## Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded		DOLB10963112 1									
Awarded		DOLB10963124 2									

**2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:**

NA - Earned Value is required for this investment

## Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

### Section A: General Information

**Date of Last Change to Activities:** 2012-08-11

### Section B: Project Execution Data

**Table II.B.1 Projects**

Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
2575010000	Procurement	Software Federal Procurement.			
2575020000	Requirements	Project Management & Governance.			
2575030000	Technical Improvements	Infrastructure Improvements.			
2575040000	Prototype & Integration I	Interoperability.			
2575050000	Prototype & Integration II	Performance Integration.			
2575060000	Departmental Deployment	Decision Support Service Framework.			
2575070000	Security	Security.			
2575080000	Training	Training.			
2575090000	Documentation	Documentation.			
2575100000	Agency Deployment	Agency Custom Dashboards.			
2575110000	Managed Services	Managed Services.			

### Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities	End Point Schedule Variance	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
------------	------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	---------------------

## Activity Summary

## Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M )	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
		(\$M)	(in days)					
2575010000	Procurement							
2575020000	Requirements							
2575030000	Technical Improvements							
2575040000	Prototype & Integration I							
2575050000	Prototype & Integration II							
2575060000	Departmental Deployment							
2575070000	Security							
2575080000	Training							
2575090000	Documentation							
2575100000	Agency Deployment							
2575110000	Managed Services							

## Key Deliverables

Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days )	Schedule Variance (%)
2575010000	DME - Procurement - Federal Software Procurement	Software Review and Selection, and Server Upgrades	2011-09-30	2011-09-30	2011-09-30	7	0	0.00%
2575020000	DME - Requirement Development - RTM and FRD	Document and Lock All Final Requirements in Requirement Development Matrix and Functional Requirements Document	2011-12-30	2011-12-30	2011-12-30	88	0	0.00%
2575030000	DME - Technical	Purchase technology	2012-02-29	2012-02-29	2012-02-29	57	0	0.00%



Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days )	Schedule Variance (%)
	Improvements - Infrastructure Improvements	assets, COTS software and intellectual property.						
2575040000	DME - Prototype & Integration - Interoperability	Develop interoperability to with New Core Financial Management System (NCFMS), MAX, Webpars and People time; user interface reporting requirements for workflow, apportionment tracking and appropriation language review by and between OMB and DOL.	2012-06-29	2012-06-29	2012-06-29	178	0	0.00%
2575050000	DME - Prototype & Integration - Performance Integration	Develop performance and productivity reporting conversion from Access to DEBS; dashboard access and personalization for agency budget, performance and financial personnel.	2012-06-29	2012-06-29	2012-06-29	178	0	0.00%
2575060000	DME - Departmental Deployment - Decision Support Service Framework	Roll out the Dashboard to the departmental resources	2012-09-28	2012-09-28		88	0	0.00%
2575070000	DME - Security - ATO	Prepare and submit the necessary documents for the Authority to Operate the new functionality	2012-09-28	2012-09-28		179	0	0.00%
2575080000	DME - Training - User Training	Preliminary training will be defined and documented and test cases assigned and executed for each	2012-09-28	2012-09-28		88	0	0.00%

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days )	Schedule Variance (%)
		requirement identified which will include but is not limited to near real time interoperability across systems, user interface reporting requirements for workflow, apportionment tracking and appropriation language review by and between OMB and DOL; and performance and productivity reporting conversion from Access to DEBS; dashboard access and personalization for agency budget, performance and financial personnel.						
2575090000	DME - Documentation - User Manual, Desk Level Procedures, Quick Reference Guide	Develop Training Manuals, Desk Level Procedures, Conduct End User Training	2012-09-28	2012-09-28		88	0	0.00%

## Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Agency Budget Professionals Job Satisfaction.	%	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Over target	75.000000	82.000000		82.000000	Semi-Annual
Stakeholder Satisfaction.	%	Customer Results - Service Quality	Over target	50.000000	90.000000		90.000000	Semi-Annual
Percentage of Agency Budget Offices Using DEBS.	%	Mission and Business Results - Support Delivery of Services	Over target	0.000000	100.000000		100.000000	Semi-Annual
Number of agencies Integrating Budget Formulation & Exhibit 300 Submissions.	#	Mission and Business Results - Support Delivery of Services	Over target	0.000000	12.000000		12.000000	Semi-Annual
Number of Budgets Requiring Re-Work.	#	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Over target	12.000000	0.000000		0.000000	Semi-Annual
Cost Benefits Associated with Integrated Budget Environment.	%	Process and Activities - Financial	Over target	0.000000	50.000000		50.000000	Semi-Annual
Percentage of Collaborative Budget Formulation Experiences.	%	Technology - Efficiency	Over target	5.000000	80.000000		80.000000	Semi-Annual
Accurate and Timely Budget & Performance Data.	%	Technology - Quality Assurance	Over target	66.000000	92.000000		92.000000	Semi-Annual
Timely Deployment of Patches and/or Security Fixes.	%	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	70.000000	75.000000	75.000000	80.000000	Monthly